

**Proposed Process Alternatives for BRAC**  
**March 20, 2007**

**Option 1: Stay the course**

Continue to evaluate all options with delivery of report by Mid-Summer 2007.

Pros:

- Final report possible by early fall.
- Possible to advance some legislative actions in 2008 but robust supporting data may not be available for all priority proposals.

Cons:

- Very scant analysis of most policy options.
- May not lead to strong/coherent strategies given analytical limitations

**Options 2: Stretch process out to allow more time for analysis**

Continue to evaluate all options with a later delivery date well into 2008.

Pros:

- Allows for more extensive analysis of more options.

Cons:

- May not meet the Governor's desired timeframe for report delivery
- Does not allow for any legislative activity during the 2008 General Session

**Option 3: Phased approach with delivery of Phase I report by Mid- to Late-Summer 2007; report to include a subset of options that are broadly supported and for which information is available.**

Pros:

- Provides Governor with more timely report for several, broadly-supported policy options.
- Possible to advance some legislative actions in 2008 with credible data.
- Narrows the range of data/analytical requirements to a subset of strong options; better analytical support for selected options.
- Reserves remaining options for future refinement and action next year and beyond.

Cons:

- Does not fully evaluate all policy options this year due to limited data.